

-

[Home: Overview of Wi-Cancer](#)

-

[Wi-Meltdown](#)

-

[The Cancers of Hi-Tech](#)

-

[Kill Zones USA](#)

-

[The Sci-Fi of Wi-Fi](#)

-

[Don't Do the DECT](#)

-

[Dead Peasants!](#)

-

[Hub?](#)

-

[Wi-Eyes](#)

-

[The Smut of Smart](#)

-

[In the News](#)

-

[Listen to the Music](#)

-

[Wi-bestos](#)

-

[Wi-Flesh Rising](#)


-

[Print and Share](#)

-

[Antenna Sickness 2017](#)

In the News



In the News: July 2016

The Federal Communications Commission Announces 5th Generation (5G): Phase Five of its Mass Sterilization and Slow-kill Genocide Projects

On July 14, 2016, five non-elected bureaucrats of the FCC voted to approve the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding, which authorizes the sale of ultra-high frequency spectrum for 5G technologies. The 5G requires very short, high-frequency microwaves never before universally unleashed upon humanity. **These millimeter wave frequencies have not been medically approved as safe for human exposure**, a reality conveniently ignored by those rabid to profit from this next generation of wireless connectivity. Coming soon will be 5G wireless devices, television services and the Internet of everything (IoT).

READ HERE TOM WHEELER'S 5G STATEMENT

PREPARED FOR THE NATIONAL PRESS CLUB

ON JULY 20, 2016: HE SAYS IT ALL!

Multi-millions of new super-speed antennas will be required for the frenetic 5G buildout. Testing of 5G networks in "lab cities" across both USA and Canada **is now underway** as developers are apparently morphing 4G/LTE platforms into 5G high speed capacity. Numerous published studies now link pulsed microwave radiation to many types of cancer, including breast cancer. Already mired in a highly carcinogenic wireless environment, North American women of the US and Canada **suffer the highest overall cancer rates of any region in the world**. They also **have the highest lifetime risk for breast cancer of any population in the world**. The short video below explains what is coming next to all US and Canadian cities, neighborhoods and rural areas. See how the new 5G millimeter wave antennas will be positioned **close in** to drill gigahertz death rays into virtually every inhabited building, **micro-targeting all females**, from fetus to elder.

In the News: May 2016

Major US Government Study Finds Link Between Cell Phone Radiation and Cancer

On May 27, a federally-funded, peer-reviewed study from the National Toxicology Program (NTP) reported a statistically significant incidence of two types of tumors developed by rats exposed to radio frequencies commonly emitted by cell phones. The two types of tumors are: **glioma brain tumor and malignant schwannoma of the heart**. In addition to the rats that developed tumors, others developed precancerous hyperplasia cells which can develop into malignant tumors later. **All told, an astronomical 8.5 percent of the exposed animals developed cancerous or precancerous cells during the relatively short study period.** Non-irradiated rats in the control group developed no cancers. Had the study time been expanded, no telling how many additional microwaved animals would have developed tumors and precancerous lesions. This preliminary information pertains only to findings on the brain and heart and there is reportedly much additional information yet to be published.

The \$25 million NTP study, overseen by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), is part of a belated effort to investigate the human risk for developing cancer from cell phone radiation. The official NTP report states: **"Given the widespread global usage of mobile communications among users of all ages, even a very small increase in the incidence of disease resulting from exposure to RFR could have broad implications for public health."** The NTP study validates warnings by leading brain cancer researcher, Lennart Hardell, that microwave radiation from wireless devices should be designated as a **confirmed carcinogen**, the same as ionizing radiation. In a 2013 scientific paper published in *Reviews on Environmental Health*, Hardell stated that current guidelines for exposure to wireless radiation need to be **"urgently revised."**

Meantime, NTP's newest evidence of microwave cancer potential is generating a flurry of damage control activities from the Wi-industry and **"don't worry, be happy"** admonitions from techies everywhere. Some say that rat studies don't count. But rats are the universally-preferred animal model for carcinogenicity studies since it is currently illegal in the US to use humans in laboratory studies that could induce cancer. By default, human studies on microwave carcinogenicity are currently left to: Nokia, Apple, Verizon, AT&T, the Wi-Fi Alliance, etc., entities which are allowed to conduct brutal, non-consensual radiation experimentation on everyone, as long as it is accomplished through commercial marketing.

[MICROWAVE NEWS REPORT](#)

[DOWNLOAD THE NTP REPORT HERE](#)

[GBM BRAIN TUMOR RATES INCREASING GLOBALLY](#)

[MORE IMPORTANT INFORMATION](#)

In the News: January 2016

The New York Times Exposes CDC's Backtracking on Cell Phone Radiation Risks

Simplified, the story is this: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has a budget of billions annually and this federal money is earmarked for protection of the public health. Before June 2014, the CDC posted on its website precautionary advice on how to reduce cell phone radiation exposure, especially for children. This proper warning was prompted by CDC official Chris Portier, who attended the 2011 proceedings of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) during which RF radiation was classified as a possible cancer agent.

On January 2, the New York Times reported that after June 2014, CDC's advice on the precautionary use of cell phones was suddenly and inexplicably rescinded. In addition, the agency sacked plans to provide research information on health effects of cell tower radiation.

Although the New York Times expose did not provide a reason for CDC's sudden backtracking on wireless radiation risks, the Environmental Health Trust has subsequently discovered that immediately preceding the dramatic website changes, the agency hired Dr. Kenneth Foster to consult on its website content. Dr. Foster has a long history of consulting for the wireless industry. His many articles, funded by corporations which profit handsomely from wireless technologies, always conclude that there is little risk from wireless radiation. Under Dr. Foster's apparent supervision, CDC's website discussion of wireless health effects is now watered down, misleading and confusing. It no longer mentions children being especially vulnerable to wireless radiation. The current CDC statement: **"At this time we do not have the science to link health problems with cell phone use"** is absolutely false and disingenuous in light of many hundreds of recent and published scientific studies to the contrary.

The coup at CDC is an outstanding example of how industry corrupts science. Well-placed shells, earning payoff money in strategic places, ensure corporate financial health first, public health last. This story also illustrates why all US federal health agencies currently remain in the Deep Dark Ages in regards to wireless radiation risks. What happened at the CDC is part of the Mushroom Project, an insidious campaign designed to keep the American people in the dark and feed them manure.

INFORMATION FROM MICROWAVE NEWS

INFORMATION FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TRUST

In the News: November 2015

Brain Cancer and Tumor Rates Steadily Rising for US Kids Ages 0-19

There are over 100 histologically distinct types of primary brain and central nervous system tumors available to the human species. The Wi-industry continually prevaricates that brain tumors are “rare” and that brain tumor incidence in the United States is “static.” Putting an end to that nonsense is a report just published by the Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States (CBTRUS) --with statistics from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the National Program of Cancer Registries (NPCR) and the National Cancer Institute (NCI).

Authored by nine medical experts and published in the November 2015 journal of *Neuro-Oncology*, the CBTRUS study collates the incidence of primary malignant and non-malignant brain and central nervous system tumors in the US since the year 2000, when the use of wireless communications devices took off with a vengeance. This study crunches national brain/CNS tumor stats through 2012.

Especially important is the report's confirmation of significant increases in brain and central nervous system tumors among US children between the ages of 0-19, the demographic comprising the Wi-industry's most loyal and microwave-enthused customers. According to a 2014 Yahoo article, parents fork out an average of about \$10,500 for cell phone expenses per one child between the ages of 12 and 22. The new CBTRUS report offers a gruesome clue as to what these indulgent parents may be getting for their money. It documents the following:

◆ An estimated **77,670 new cases** of primary malignant and non-malignant brain and CNS tumors are expected to be diagnosed in the United States in 2016. This includes an estimated 24,790 primary malignant and 52,880 non-malignant

tumors. (These new tumor victims will join the nearly 700,000 other Americans already living with a brain or CNS tumor diagnosis.)

◆ In children (0-14 years old) there have been “**significant increases**” in the incidence of **primary malignant** brain and CNS tumors between 2000-2010, with an annual percentage change (APC) of .06 percent.

◆ In adolescents (15-19 years old) there have been “**significant increases**” in the incidence of **primary malignant** brain and CNS tumors between 2000-2010, with an annual percentage change (APC) of 1.0 percent.

◆ Adolescents also suffered an **increase in non-malignant** brain and CNS tumors during the years 2004-2010, with an APC of 3.9 percent.

The newest, smartest and most radiation-intensive wireless technologies (**smart phones and tablets exceeding a whopping 6 volts of microwave radiation per meter**) are routinely placed in the hands of millions of US children from the time they are infants. This indicates that the uptrend for brain and nervous system tumors among America's young is certain to accelerate. The CBTRUS report did not present childhood tumor statistics beyond 2010. Smart tablet technology was introduced into the market in 2010. This leaves in question the extent of neoplasms incubating within the hyper-microwaved bodies and brains of US kids from 2010 to the present. It will likely be a long time before researchers can ascertain the true wages of Wi in all of their glory (gory). After all, it took 100 years before Americans finally “got it” in regards to kids and smoking. The CBTRUS report gives additional support to the following medical information already documented at this website:

◆ In 2011, the WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified RF/microwave radiation emitted by wireless devices as a Class 2B, “possible human carcinogen.” Several of the WHO-invited scientists who made that designation are now calling for a reclassification to an **increased risk level** because medical evidence is now far more advanced than it was in 2011.

◆ In July 2014, the *Occupational and Environmental Medicine Journal* published the CERENAT case-controlled study in which French researchers documented an almost **3-fold increase in brain cancer after 896 or more hours of lifetime cell phone use**. (American kids easily exceed that many cordless/mobile phone hours within one year or less.)

◆ Also in 2014, Swedish researchers at Orebro University Hospital also reconfirmed **a 3-fold brain cancer risk from cell and cordless phone use** in a study published in the October 2014 *Pathophysiology*. Very significant was the finding of this study that **people who first used mobile or cordless phones before the age of 20 have the highest cancer risk**.

◆ In a second study published in 2014 in the *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, these same Swedish scientists confirmed that wireless phone use correlates with **lower survival rates** for people diagnosed with the most malignant glioma brain tumors.

◆ The abstracts of both of the above referenced 2014 Swedish studies state that RF/microwave radiation should now be regarded as a “Group 1 Human Carcinogen,” **placing it in the same IARC category as tobacco, asbestos and benzene**.

◆ Non-cancerous brain tumors inflict the same horrors as malignant tumors including: seizures, dangerous surgeries and brain impairment.

[**READ THE CBTRUS REPORT HERE**](#)

In the News: September 2015
USA Gets its First "Right to Know" Warning on Cell Phone Radiation

On September 21, Federal District Court Judge Edward Chen gave the City of Berkeley in California a green light to implement the City's landmark cell phone "right to know" ordinance. This ordinance requires cell phone vendors in the City to provide customers with a safety warning, either with a handout or by posting the following notice in the store:

The City of Berkeley requires that you be provided the following notice:

To assure safety, the Federal Government requires that cell phones meet radio frequency (RF) exposure guidelines. If you carry or use your phone in a pants or shirt pocket or tucked into a bra when the phone is ON and connected to a wireless network, you may exceed the federal guidelines for exposure to RF radiation. Refer to the instructions in your phone or user manual for information about how to use your phone safely.

Berkeley officials and academicians developed the ordinance because studies show that the majority of consumers are not aware that most cell phone manufacturers recommend cell phones be carried up to an inch away from the body. These warnings are usually buried in the fine print of cell phone manuals or they require laborious access on the devices themselves. Further, the City has noted that the protocols for testing the specific absorption rate (SAR) of cell phones assumed that these devices would be carried a distance from the body on holsters or belt clips, keeping them separated from direct contact with tissues.

Last June, CTIA—The Wireless Association, a corporation which represents all sectors of the wireless industry, filed a lawsuit and a motion for an injunction in the Federal District Court in Northern California against Berkeley's "right to know" ordinance. CTIA claimed that the ordinance violates the First Amendment rights of cell phone retailers and uses "alarmist" words such as "radiation" and "potential risk." It complained that CTIA's member entities do not like the warning message because it "stokes fear in consumers about the dangers of cell phones." Worst of all, said the lawsuit: "If the Ordinance is allowed to stand, other local governments will soon follow the City's lead, resulting in a crazy-quilt of tens of thousands of inconsistent 'disclosure' obligations across the country. The result will be more compelled speech...as well as widespread and unwarranted consumer confusion and anxiety about the safety of cell phones."

Judge Chen didn't buy CTIA's major arguments, but he did yield to CTIA's demands on one point. He ordered the City of Berkeley to strike from its warning: "The potential risk is greater for children." Chen, who noted that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is not a health and safety agency, made this decision based on the fact that the FCC "has never made any pronouncement that it is a greater potential risk for children, and certainly the FCC has not imposed different RF energy exposure limits that are applicable to children specifically." Informed observers know that the FCC would never make such a pronouncement as long as its chairman is former CTIA damage control expert Tom Wheeler. Meantime, a large number of published medical studies confirm that children are at special risk from cell phone radiation. Foremost cancer experts, representing the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), stated in 2011 that when a child puts a cell phone against his head, "the average RF energy deposition is two times higher in the brain and up to ten times higher in the bone marrow of the skull, compared with mobile phone use by adults." The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) also confirms that women and children are among the most vulnerable to cell phone radiation. AAP is an organization of 60,000 primary care pediatricians and surgical care specialists. **READ WHAT AAP SAYS HERE**

CTIA and its obliging servant, the FCC, know that millions of America's children, including infants, clutch in their hands transmitting cell phones, smart phones and tablets, many of which are equipped with up to five antennas. They know that infants and school children put these powerful radio transmitters against their skulls, hug these devices to their stomachs and even chew on them as toys. CTIA's determined efforts to prevent and deny adequate health warnings for children is especially abhorrent, since little ones cannot, and do not, maintain distance between their flesh and wireless devices.

In the 1990s, CTIA was headed by current FCC chairman Tom Wheeler and it was fully invested in the Wireless Technology Research (WTR) project in order to stem brain cancer lawsuits. This project sponsored a number of scientific teams who ultimately uncovered horrendous biological effects of cell phone radiation, propagated at or below current FCC and FDA emission limits. By 2000, WTR studies had demonstrated: severe DNA damage to human blood, a dangerous breakdown of the blood-brain barrier and a statistically significant incidence of brain tumors in cell phone users of the day.

Despite this massive evidence, Wheeler subsequently told the media: "Our industry has gone out and aggressively asked the question, 'Can we find a problem?' And the answer that has come back is that there is nothing that has come up in the research that suggests that there is a linkage between the use of a wireless phone and health effects." To this day, as Judge Chen acknowledged in his order, both the FCC and the FDA (well-apprised of WTR findings) still maintain that there is no convincing scientific evidence of harm from wireless devices. This, despite literally thousands of medical papers and media reports to the contrary, many of which have been published since 2000.

The Berkeley ordinance has accomplished far more than legalizing a mild warning to keep broadcasting wireless RF/microwave devices off the body. This ordinance, and CTIA's infamous lawsuit to stop it, exposes the demonstrable

corruption of the US regulatory system, now completely bogged down in confusion, hypocrisy and indefensible contradictions. Further, it spotlights CTIA --central agent for the wireless industry-- as an amoral and malignant force. With its cruel and callous sacrifice of children everywhere, CTIA presents the epitome of Wendell Berry's definition: **"A corporation essentially, is a pile of money to which a number of persons have sold their moral allegiance....It can experience no personal hope or remorse, no change of heart. It cannot humble itself. It goes about its business as if it were immortal, and with the single purpose of becoming a bigger pile of money."** [A COLLOSSAL PILE OF MONEY HERE](#)

Note: A comprehensive review of the WTR studies, Wheeler's skillful cover-up activities and the shameful non-disclosure collusion of federal regulatory agencies is found in: *Cell Phones: Invisible Hazards in the Wireless Age*, by Dr. George Carlo and Martin Schram. The above quote by Wheeler is contained therein.

In the News: July 2015

From the New York Daily News: Cell Phone Radiation Can Cause Cancer

A new study is calling out the skeptics of cancer by way of cellphones. Researcher Igor Yakymenko has discovered a correlation between the disease and mobile phone usage after conducting a meta-study, which looked at how radiofrequency from devices can damage one's DNA. That damage can add up over time and cause a variety of health problems, like cancer, headaches, fatigue and even skin problems.

**by Meredith Engel
July 29, 2015**

The scientists were right — your cell phone can give you cancer. There have long been whispers of a cancer connection from your cell — and a new study backs up the claims.

"These data are a clear sign of the real risks this kind of radiation poses for human health," study author Igor Yakymenko said.

Yakymenko's meta-study — basically a study of hundreds of other studies — reveals many findings of previous researchers into how radiofrequency from your phone can damage DNA. That damage can add up over time and cause a variety of health problems, like cancer, headaches, fatigue and even skin problems. For example, using your phone for just 20 minutes a day for five years increased the risk of one type of brain tumor threefold, and using the phone an hour a day for four years upped the risk of some tumors three to five times, Yakymenko said.

Note: This meta-study was conducted by six scientists representing five prestigious medical universities.

[READ THE ABSTRACT](#)

In the News: May 2015

Canadian Medical Association Reports Health Canada's Wireless Limits Are "A Disaster to Public Health"

May 7, 2015: "The Canadian Medical Association Journal today published a scathing condemnation of Health Canada's safety guidelines [basically the same as US guidelines] for cell phones and Wi-Fi. The Journal interviewed multiple international experts in radiation and cancer, who warn that the microwave levels allowed in Canadian classrooms, residences and workplaces are 'a disaster to public health.' One scientist said that given the overwhelming evidence that wireless radiation is harmful, Health Canada staff are either 'unwilling or not competent to make evaluation of the current literature. ' "
[READ THE REPORT](#)

International Scientists Demand Realistic RF/Microwave Exposure Standards

May 11, 2015: The accumulation of medical evidence of biological harm from wireless devices and technologies is now so massive that ethical individuals and groups have no choice but to demand that obsolete and discredited public exposure

standards now be upgraded to actually protect human health. "Today 190 scientists from 39 nations submitted an appeal to the United Nations, UN member states and the World Health Organization (WHO) requesting they adopt more protective exposure guidelines for electromagnetic fields (EMF) and wireless technology in the face of increasing evidence of risk. These exposures are a rapidly growing form of environmental pollution worldwide." [READ THE APPEAL](#)

In the News: March 2015

Replication Studies from Germany Take Us a Leap Forward

It has been decreed by the great and omnipotent Wireless Radiation Industry that every man, woman and child in America shall clutch in his hands a wireless cancer-delivery device. It is decreed that each shall, during most waking hours, fixate upon the small-screen. These decrees have generated hundreds of \$billions in profits for a voracious industry now larger than publishing, agriculture, hotels and lodging, air transportation, motion pictures, motor vehicle manufacturing, and rivaling even the oil and gas extraction industries.

Federal exposure guidelines allow electronic cancer-delivery devices sold in the United States to infiltrate the human body with **1.6 watts of microwave radiation per kilogram in one gram of tissue**. This metric quantifies the amount of radiation actually absorbed by the tissues (specific absorption rate or SAR). Transceiving wireless devices blast multiple watts of various microwave frequencies deeply into the hands, the skull, the heart, the gut and the reproductive organs. New scientific research yields further insight into what this means for Microwave Man, Woman and Child.

Bottom line:

Nine scientists at Jacobs University in Bremen, Germany have published state-of-the-art studies showing that animals exposed to 0.4 down to .04 watts per kilogram (**SAR exposure levels 4 to 40 times lower than 1.6 W/Kg**) suffer a **significantly higher** incidence (than non-irradiated animals) of:

lung cancer
liver cancer
lymphoma cancer

1,658,370 Americans will receive a new cancer diagnosis in 2015
(not counting basal cell and squamous cell skin cancers)

221,200 Americans will receive a new lung cancer diagnosis in 2015

35,660 Americans will receive a new liver cancer diagnosis in 2015

80,900 Americans will receive a new lymphoma cancer diagnosis in 2015
American Cancer Society stats

The new German study is published in *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*.
[**READ THE ABSTRACT**](#)

**Explaining why this study is so important is Dr. Joel Moskowitz Ph.D.,
Director of the Center for Family and Community Health
University of California, Berkeley
Excerpted from a news release 03-07-2015:**

"This is an important study on several accounts. First, the study demonstrates that the tumor-enhancing effects from exposure to UMTS (3G) cell phone radiation observed in a previous animal study are reproducible. Reproducibility is one of the foundations of the scientific method.

"Second, the study did not find a dose-response effect. Rather, 'many of the tumor-promoting effects in our study were seen at low to moderate exposure levels (0.04 and 0.4 W/Kg SAR), thus well below exposure limits for the users of mobile phones.' ...The SAR exposure limits adopted by most countries assumes a dose-response relationship between the exposure and adverse health effects. The nonlinear effects observed in this study suggest that the SAR methodology is inadequate to protect human health.

"Third, the authors explain why some researchers have had difficulty in reproducing the results of earlier studies--their methods deviate in critical ways from the original experiments. Hence, these so-called 'replication studies' fail to reproduce the effects observed in earlier studies."

For European perspective on this ground-breaking report: [READ HERE](#)

In the News: February 2015

Mobile Phone Radiation Causes Brain Tumors and Should be Classified as a Probable Human Carcinogen (2A)

A new article published in the *International Journal of Oncology* states: "Quickly changing technologies and intensive uses of radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF)-emitting phones pose a challenge to public health....CERENAT, a French national study, provides an important addition to the literature evaluating the use of mobile phones and risk of brain tumors. The CERENAT finding of increased risk of glioma is consistent with studies that evaluated use of mobile phones for a decade or longer and corroborate those that have shown a risk of meningioma from mobile phone use. In CERENAT, exposure to RF-EMF from digitally enhanced cordless telephones (DECTs), used by over half the population of France during the period of this study, was not evaluated. If exposures to DECT phones could have been taken into account, the risks of glioma from mobile phone use in CERENAT are likely to be higher than published."

[ABSTRACT AND ARTICLE HERE](#)

In the News: January 2015

Researchers Conclude Wireless Radiation Causes Cancer After Latest Scientific Findings

National advocacy group calls on major children's health organizations to promote safe technology in schools with the "Turn It Off 4 Kids" Initiative.

The National Association for Children and Safe Technology (NACST) is taking action after two recently published studies indicate there is sufficient evidence demonstrating exposure to wireless radiation, also known as RF-EMF, causes cancer. Wireless routers and devices such as tablets, laptops, baby monitors and cell phones all emit this type of radiation.

The State of the Science: The Debate is Over

Professor of Oncology Lennart Hardell, M.D., Ph.D., and Statistician Michael Carlberg of Orebro University Hospital, Sweden found a 3-fold risk with 25 or more years of cell and cordless phone use in a study published October 2014 in *Pathophysiology*. *Very significant was the finding that people who first used mobile or cordless phones before the age of 20 had the highest risk.*

Increased wireless phone use also correlated with lower survival rates for people diagnosed with the most malignant gliomas in a second study published in the *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* by the same researchers. Hardell and Carlberg stated, "Due to the relationship with survival, the classification is strengthened."

In both studies, the authors state that RF-EMF should be regarded as a human carcinogen, “requiring urgent revision of current exposure guidelines.” These two studies followed the July 2014 *Occupational and Environmental Medicine Journal* publication of the CERENAT case controlled study where French researchers found almost a 3-fold increase in brain cancer with 896 or more hours of lifetime cell phone use.

Based on the accumulation of research demonstrating the health effects from wireless radiation, Professor Olle Johansson of the Karolinska Institute, Department of Neuroscience has stated, “the debate is over” on wireless.

“Given the established and emerging science, it only follows that students be provided a safe learning environment, free from wireless radiation,” stated an NACST spokesperson.

Scientists Call for the World Health Organization to Reclassify RF-EMF

In 2011, the WHO’s International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified RF radiation as a Class 2B, “possible human carcinogen.” Since 2011, several of the World Health Organization invited scientists have called for a reclassification to an increased risk level. The abstracts of these 2014 studies state that RF should now be regarded as a “Group 1 Human Carcinogen,” placing it in the same category as tobacco, asbestos and benzene.

NACST’s Turn It Off 4 Kids Initiative

In light of these recent scientific publications and expert warnings, NACST is reaching out to health organizations asking them to prioritize the issue of children’s health and wireless exposures in educational settings for 2015 in the following ways:

1. Call for all new school technology to be hardwired.
2. Call to replace existing wireless technology systems with hardwired systems.
3. Call for the implementation of primary prevention efforts such as educating the public about simple steps to reduce exposure, especially in regards to children and pregnant women.
4. Educate their organization’s members and audience on this issue by emails, informational web pages, updated materials, and all other means possible.

Expert Endorsements

NACST’s Initiative has been endorsed by several prominent scientists, physicians and safety advocates including Drs. Lennart Hardell, Olle Johansson, Anthony Miller and Dariusz Leszczynski. Dr. Leszczynski was a participating scientist in the WHO IARC panel on RF-EMF and cancer, and Dr. Miller has served as Director of the Epidemiology Unit, National Cancer Institute of Canada, Toronto.

Details on NACST’s Turn It Off 4 Kids Initiative, including endorsements, are found here:

<http://www.nacst.org/nacst-turn-it-off-4-kids.html>

About NACST

The National Association for Children and Safe Technology is dedicated to raising awareness about the health impacts of wireless radiation on children as well as advancing policies that safeguard children’s health and well being.